Donald, Carson Compete
In Finals for Rec Pres.

Proctor Eliminated
In Thursday Primary

Less than a hundred votes separated the top two leading candidates for the office of President for the Recreation Association, when the final tallies were made. In the vice-presidential race, which will have to be run over next week, there was a total difference of 258 and 264 votes. Louise Proctor was defeated in the primary.

Cable Cragan, with 255 votes, will compete with Louise Proctor who polled 253 votes, for the top presidency. Ann Wathen polled fewer votes than either of the other candidates.

In the secretary's race, Don Wannell defeated Mickey McRory by a count of 497 votes to 411.

Decker Kilgore was the victor in the race for treasurer, defeating Frances Bennett by 42 votes. The final count was 453 to 411.

Bone Landslides Into YW
Presidency, Defeats Noble
631-261, 1 Runover Slated

Joan Bone was elected president of the YWCA by a landslide, defeating Winifred Noble 621 to 283 votes Thursday.

For the position of vice-president, Mary Jeanne Everett was chosen by a bare majority, the votes being 412 to 411.

Angie Sluiper, elected second-vice-president of the Y, won by a vote of 474 to 490. Her opponent was Douglas Morris.

Bart the votes polled a large majority over her two opponents, Margarette Cheseler and Nan McCleod in the race for secretary of the YWCA. The votes were Ruth Bone—449; Nan McCleod—334; Margarette Cheseler—143.

Libby Upham and Jane Morris will be in the only run for the YWCA elections. The results of election for treasurer were Libby Upham—284; Jane Martin—218 and Margaret Balke—21.

McConnell, Lott Still in CGA Pres.
Race; Fountain Heads Judiciary

In the hottest election day contest in the history of the school, Horace Fountain was elected Chairman of the Judiciary by a clear majority of 459 votes over his opponent, Larry Dunn, 251, and Roy Brand, 156. Fountain was also reelected to the race for CGA president, polling 249 votes against McConnell's 239, Lott's 172, and Margaret Pitt's 61. Her clear elec-
tion to the judiciary position, however, according to an announce-
ment by the nominating committee, makes her entrance into the new year with the knowledge that she cannot be elected to the office of president and run for another on the same ticket.

CGA vice-presidential run-
ever will be taken between Jean Moore and Nancy England, with 232 and 206 votes respectively. Eliminating Louise Ray who bagged only a total of 258, Louise Keel will compete with Margaret Pitt for the office of Secretary, and Blanche Layton's 141 ballots will be thrown to the decision for one of the remaining candidates. Jimmie Baines and Louise Bone were running neck-in-neck when the results came in. Laurelle being only 2 point ahead of Jennifer Berry. Berg was defeated. Martine Davis received a majority of the votes cast for Corresponding Secretary, her 444 ballots eliminating Winonah Murphy's 323 and Clara Roughton's 30.
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Campus Sporatons
By John Waterston

In the opinion of the members of the Modern Dance Club, February twenty-second is just about the most important night out they have this year. During the winter quarter that is too
said that Miss Prine Modern Dance Team, from I. S. U., will be on our campus, and well worth it. In the opinion
of the Modern Dance Team, that night should be a formal evening. That is to say, that Miss Prine and her crew will
will be a part of the formal evening. The Modern Dance Team is composed of six girls and two boys, and they plan
to present a formal evening. The Miss Prine Modern Dance Team is composed of six girls and two boys, and they plan
to present a formal evening. The Modern Dance Team is composed of six girls and two boys, and they plan
to present a formal evening. The Modern Dance Team is composed of six girls and two boys, and they plan
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TAX SYSTEM TO BE SUGGESTED

An Art HistoryForum; Woodward

Studies ensuing discussion.

If you have any questions or need further assistance, please feel free to ask! 😊
Letter To The Editor

Is it possible that the Colonade is criticizing the nominating committee for being undemocratic? Is not this newspaper taking a decidedly undemocratic stand in doing so? This member of the nominating committee was elected by the students, to act for the students who are the staff of the Colonade so favored by the staff.

The nominating committee did not change a candidate from one office to another but merely informed the candidates of last minute changes for two offices and asked which now she preferred. Even though the candidate's choice may have been influenced by ignorance of opinion, should the nominating committee be blamed for this?

You stated that two petitions were turned in for a candidate thus proving that majority of students preferred her for that office. For how long has fifty been a majority of four hundred students? In this case the paper to get out a biased extra? And to try to conceal this fact by hiding behind the skirts of the published platform?

Certainly "Our Colonade" was not in any way trying to influence any of the voters in Thursday's election.

If it would not cause any strain or embarrassment on the staff, this would like prove of your statement that it is legal to insert a name on a primary ballot and that the votes MUST be counted.

A SOPHOMORE

EDITORS' NOTE: We possibly should make some detailed comments about the purpose of the election in the Electors issue appearing Thursday. As we attempted to explain in the Open Letter, the Colonade, as the student organ, recognized the decision and discussed setting up some situations that had not been explained to anyone's satisfaction. It is not the purpose of the Colonade to stand in with any group, either the nominating committee, the faculty, or small cliques to the exclusion of its ability to see faults in all these groups and follow the cause it believes to be democratic. Therefore setting situations that definitely did not on the surface appear to be democratic and hiding the same looking valid by a large portion of the student body, the Colonade, if it lived up to its own standards, could not blandly overlook these things and report "fair and without" to everyone. The questions asked were not original with the Colonade editor but were questions also heard heard students not once and once during the past week, making their own prejudiced conjectures as to the answers. It was much better to get these cleared up in an open and above-board manner than to let students view in their own eyes and consequently break down student faith and respect in student government through disservice which is in all intents a form of suggestion.

Now to the first question. What, may we ask, is undemocratic about criticism of the nominating committee or anyone else? Is freedom of opinion, PRESS, and speech a fundamental of democracy? More than that, we have even given them a chance, which they accepted, to prove their democratic intentions through the Colonade.

If the above writer will kindly refer to the extra she so liberally criticizes she will observe that nowhere did we claim that a candidate was changed from one position to another. We merely asked why it was necessary to put Horizons to an embarrassing position of having to choose between two important offices when someone also, unless the committee was determined to select Horizons, could have been found to run for the office of faculty.

The writer should also notice please, that nowhere did we make the error of calling two petitions a majority. The word itself does not appear in the open letter. The words "a large part" were used, which is undoubtedly true. This assumption was based both on the petitions and the mention observed at the student body meeting Tuesday night as the writer of this unsigned letter will remember if she was present.

Although we have to admit it, the printing of plottions was no cause idea. It was done last year in the move between Marion Aaron and Berney Mc Dowell for president of GCA in the fall. It will be continued. In short, it is non stop. And why and when has the Colonade tried to veil anything it does?

The modification of our conclusion that names can be inserted on a ballot is, if painful at all, painfully easy. In civil courts, our only precedent in campus ballot elections, is not only legal, but has been done many times, in the final ballot. Thus, you will argue, was our PRIMARY ballot. But let us remind you that in many cases this was our first ballot. For example, to the case of Ephratah Bon's election to president of YWCA there no error at all and therefore there would have been no opportunity for writing in names if students were dissatisfied with the candidates. Therefore our ballot taken Thursday was a byright, in a community and it is not a proper case of fraud. And it must be evident that it would be highly undemocratic not to count the vote of the candidates even one is not in favor of the candidate whose name is inserted.